

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,845	05/11/2001	Wayne Godfrey	16524.010	7084	
28381 7	7590 03/28/2002				
ARNOLD & PORTER			EXAMINER		
555 12TH STR		1 1126(b)	BELYAVSKYI	BELYAVSKYI, MICHAIL A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-1206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2002	: 5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/852,845	GODFREY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michail A Belyavskyi	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statured to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statured patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te. cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	<u>Мау 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>26,27,31,33,35 and 59-77</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>26,27,31,33,35 and 59-77</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. <b>Application Papers</b>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	or.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	-··	` ,				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) ction/Election Fax .				

Application/Control Number: 09/852,845

Art Unit: 1644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment, filed 5/11/01 (Paper No. 3), is acknowledged.

Claims 1-25, 28-30,32, 34,36-58 have been canceled.

Claims 26, 27, 31 have been amended.

Claims 59-77 have been added

Claims 26, 27, 31, 33,35 and 59-77 are pending.

### Sequence Compliance

2. The instant application is in sequence compliance for patent applications containing nucleotide sequence and/or amino acid sequence disclosures.

# Restriction Requirement

- 3. Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1640 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Paula Hutzell, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner at Paula.Hutzell@uspto.gov or 703-308-4310. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.
- 4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
  - I. Claims 26, 27, 31, 33, 35, 59--72, drawn to monoclonal antibody, immunotoxin comprising antibody, humanized antibody and cells of hybridoma classified in Class 530, subclass 387.1, Class 435, subclass 326
  - II. Claims 73-75, drawn to a method of detecting activated CD4+ T-cells in sample, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.
  - III. Claims 76-77, drawn to a method of detecting activated CD4+ T-cells in patient classified in Class 424, subclass 9.1.
- 6. Groups II and III are different methods. *In vitro* method of detecting activated CD4+ T-cells in sample and *in vivo* method of detecting activated CD4+ T-cells in-patient differ with respect to ingredients, method steps, and endpoints; therefore, each method is patentably distinct.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/852,845 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

7. Groups I and II/III are related as product and process of using. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibody of Group I can be used for affinity purification, in addition to the methods of detecting recited.

8. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, even though in some cases the classification is shared, a different field of search would be required based upon the structurally distinct products recited and the various methods of use comprising distinct method steps. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

# **Species Election**

- 9. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Invention I: wherein antibody
- A) inhibits activation of CD4+ T cells, or
- B) stimulates activation of CD4+ T cells.

These species are distinct because they are mutually exclusive in that they reach opposing endpoints the; thus each represents patentably distinct subject matter.

The examination of species would require different searches in the scientific literature and would involve the consideration of separate issues in determining patentability.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

10. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Page 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

- 11. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michail Belyavskyi whose telephone number is (703) 308-4232. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 305-3014.

Michail Belyavskyi, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600 March 25, 2002.

PHILLYP (SAMC) EL PHILLIP GAMBEL, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER VEW! (ONTON/GOO

3/27/02